



Asian Journal of Scientific Research

ISSN 1992-1454

science
alert
<http://www.scialert.net>

ANSI*net*
an open access publisher
<http://ansinet.com>



Research Article

Evaluation of Policy Implementation of Minimum Service Standard in Health Affairs of Enrekang Regency from Year 2013-2015

¹Mohamad Thahir Haning, ^{2,3}Andi Imam Arundhana, ⁴Indra Dwinata and ³AsryDwi Muqni

¹Department of Public Administration, School of Social and Political Science, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

²Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

³Nutrition Research and Development Center, School of Public Health, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

⁴Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia

Abstract

Background: According to the mandate of Indonesian Constitution 1945 and decentralization law, one of the obligatory affairs of the district government is health sector. To ensure that all people are covered with health services then the policy about Minimum Standard Service (MSS) in health affairs was issued. **Objective:** This study aimed to investigate the implementation of MSS in health affairs of Enrekang from 2013-2015. **Materials and Methods:** This study was cross sectional by using quantitative and qualitative approach. Implementation measured was determined by analysis of budget supporting, the achievement of indicators and public satisfaction. The primary data, constraints of implementation, was observed in this study, while the secondary data collected were district characteristics and 22 indicators of MSS. A total of 8 key informants were interviewed including the head of the health office, divisions in health office and Puskesmas as well as patients in Puskesmas and the local hospital. Selection of informants used snowball sampling. **Results:** This study indicated that the budget allocation is still low (less than 10%). Achievements in implementation of MSS policy decreased from 40.9% (2013) to 31.8% (2015). In 2015, the lowest achievement was referral health services, while the highest was health promotion (32 vs. 100%). There are several constraints experienced, particularly the difficulties to understand the guidelines and incompatibility of data management with the guidelines. However, people are satisfied with the services obtained. **Conclusion:** It is concluded that the implementation of MSS in health affairs might be influenced comprehensively such as, different perception of health workers in understanding the indicators, budget supporting and the number of human resources. The public satisfaction was independent and it is strongly related to the cost of services.

Key words: Policy implementation, health affairs, minimum service standard, universal health coverage, poor people

Received: March 07, 2017

Accepted: May 03, 2017

Published: June 15, 2017

Citation: Mohamad Thahir Haning, Andi Imam Arundhana, Indra Dwinata and AsryDwi Muqni, 2017. Evaluation of policy implementation of minimum service standard in health affairs of Enrekang Regency from year 2013-2015. Asian J. Sci. Res., 10: 186-193.

Corresponding Author: Andi Imam Arundhana, Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, Indonesia
Tel: +6285270049092

Copyright: © 2017 Mohamad Thahir Haning *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Based on the government regulation of Republic Indonesia, the Minimum Service Standard (MSS) is a provision of the type and quality of basic services. It is a minimal obligatory function provided by government to all citizens¹. In addition, to achieve the concept of good governance, Indonesia has been implementing a system of regional autonomy so that all the obligatory functions and authority to carry out that function has been delegated to the local governments. Therefore, to minimize variations in the implementation process of these affairs and to ensure all people get the minimum service, then the application of minimum service standards need to be considered at once to increase the target of universal health coverages targeted by Indonesian government². The health sector is one of the obligatory functions of local government that gets a large proportion in budgeting. The legal basis for the implementation of MSS is the Regulation of Health Minister of Republic Indonesia number 741 year 2008 and the Decree of Health Minister number 828 year 2008. These regulations are concerning the Minimum Service Standards in health affairs for the regency/city and the Technical Guidelines of Minimum Service Standards in health affairs of the regency/city, respectively. The successful implementation of MSS in the health sector can be an assessment of the public service performance in the health sector².

Public Health Development Index (PHDI) in 2013 reported that South Sulawesi was ranked 20 out of 34 of provinces in Indonesia³. It means that the achievement in the health sector of this province still needs to be optimized. One area contributing enough to PHDI for South Sulawesi Province was Enrekang Regency in which this regency is ranked 113 out of the 497 regency/cities in Indonesia. However, these achievements need to be maintained or even improved due to Enrekang Regency was the top 5 regency/cities in South Sulawesi with the highest number of poor population (15.11%)⁴. According to WHO recommendation, the health service utilization for poor people is included as the primary healthcare concept. Therefore, the healthcare should be universally available and accessible without any constraint in delivery. Thus, it is very important to do mature planning in order to ensure they receive good quality service based on the guidelines^{5,6}.

Basically, the implementation of every single policy should be encouraged in order to implement the good governance. But, the differences of the situation in each

regency can be an enabling factor influencing the policy implementation⁷. However, in every public policy implementation, the government should follow properly the set instruction or technical guideline which available so that the inhibiting factor could be minimized⁸. Rationalization of MSS in health affairs implementation is a guarantee of health care for all people in community and as a measuring tool to assess the public services performance of Health Office. Furthermore, to measure the performance, it is necessary to evaluate the implementation of MSS in health sector periodically. Performance measurement is intended to achieve the goals and provide the relevant information for the policy makers. Furthermore, the performance measurement is indeed a necessity in order to improve the quality of the health services in the future⁹. The construction of some performance indicators in the public sector is a difficult task because not all goals are measurable. Therefore, the government sets the standards that measurable to assess the performance of the public sector¹⁰.

Measuring the performance of health services periodically signifies that health services are very dynamic. It is no longer sufficient to put the outcome alone as the representative of the performance. The patient satisfaction is becoming important aspect in health services¹¹. Therefore, in evaluation of the policy implementation, multidimensional aspect such as policy inputs, performance outcomes and people satisfactions should be measured. The objective of the study was to evaluate the achievement of the health services performance and to analyze the implementation of MSS in health affairs of Enrekang Regency within 3 years from 2013-2015.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study cross sectional design with concurrent embedded mixed method was used¹². Quantitative approach aimed to collect primary and secondary data. Primary data such as indicator of MSS achievement while secondary data is budget allocation. These data were collected using interviews and document observation. Interviews to collect primary data were performed on 12 heads of Puskesmas in Enrekang Regency or representative officials. The method to get the sample was purposive sampling. Document observation is conducted by requesting permission from the head of finance at Enrekang Regency Health Office to collect secondary data. Total of 22 indicators of MSS in health affairs, based on the Regulation of Minister of Health

Number 741 year 2008, was evaluated. Coverage of evaluation includes the financial, management and facilities aspects as well as the achievement of all MSS indicators from year 2013-2015.

The qualitative approach was to collect also the primary and secondary data. Primary data include the constraints and level of public satisfaction of MSS policy implementation, while secondary data includes the flow/pathway of data management. Primary data were obtained through in-depth interviews to the Health Office division for implementation barrier. Furthermore, the people satisfaction, the indicators of impact measurement of the policy implementation, was obtained qualitatively by interview to the patients from Puskesmas and local government hospital. Secondary data was obtained through document observation in planning department of Health Office. The informants is selected by purposive sampling to measure the level of public satisfaction. The analysis of policy implementation in health affairs MSS of Enrekang conducted from May-August, 2016.

Interview: An interview to the key informant aimed to explore and investigate the context of the process and the output of policy implementation of MSS. A total of 8 key informants was interviewed in depth for this study. Sample size of key informants selected to be interviewed are at least 6-12 for the data to be reliable¹³. The key informants were the head of HO, the chief of division in HO and the head of Puskesmas. In addition, society as informants is to gauge the level of satisfaction for health services delivered by Enrekang Regency government. Selection of key informants was conducted by the snowball sampling technique that starts from the chief of Health Office of Enrekang District as the primary informant.

Document review: The document review was conducted to evaluate the budget allocation for health service performance in the last 3 years. In addition, the primary data and the data based on documents from Health Office are compared to confirm the indicator achievement. The head of HO was asked in related to the sources of document. Some documents collected include health profile of Enrekang Regency year 2013 until 2015 from the Planning Division and budget usage report from Financial Division of HO. The data were obtained from 12 Puskesmas and LGH. Data processing based on such documents was done by comparing to the technical instruction of MSS in health affairs.

Data analysis: The quantitative data were presented using the form of tables and graphs. The percentage was computed for

some variables such as budget allocation and the achievement of MSS indicators. The qualitative data were analyzed using a deductive approach.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics: The profile of Enrekang district can be seen in Table 1. The number of Puskesmas was 13 while 12 of those have been able to serve hospitalization. With regard to increase accessibility, the government of Enrekang Regency has two local hospitals, 55 village health posts (Poskesdes) spread throughout the village and followed by 301 integrated health centers (Posyandu). The proportion of children under 5 compare to population was 8.06% while 23.52% of them are infant (0-11 months).

Resources: The implementation process of MSS in health affairs covers three stages: Planning (preparation and dissemination), implementation, monitoring and evaluation. The important parts of the planning are resources and budget allocation. The number of health workers in Enrekang Regency were still less than expected (Table 2). There are only 31 medical doctors distributed in 129 villages from 12 districts to

Table 1: Characteristic of Enrekang district in 2016

Information	Total
Population	198,194
Public Health Center (Puskesmas)	13
PHC with hospitalization	12
Villages	129
Satellite Puskesmas (Pustu)	67
Mobile Health Clinic	13
The Maternity Village Post (Polindes)	11
Village Health Post (Poskesdes)	55
Integrated Health Center	301
Active Integrated Health Center	217
Local Government Hospital	2
Private Clinic	25
Under 5 children	15,969
Infant	3,756
Duration (in minutes) from the center of the district to the farthest village by using public transportation	180

Table 2: Number of health workers in Enrekang district in 2016

Health workers	Amount
Medical practitioners (general and specialist)	31
Nursing practitioners	193
Midwifery practitioners	183
Pharmacy practitioners	23
Nutritionist	25
Medical technologists	20
Sanitarians	14
Non-medical public health practitioners	52

cover 198.194 inhabitants. It made the ratio of medical doctors per population reached 1:6.393. Health workers is needed to run a public policy related to health. Lack of health workers in both quality and quantity can cause the problem in health service delivery. For instance, the current study found that the ratio of medical doctors per population was not ideal especially if compared to the ratio in Java which was only 1:3,000 inhabitants. Currently, most of the rural or remote areas face the lack health workers crisis such as medical doctors, midwives, nurses, nutritionists and sanitarians. They tend to reject to stay in those areas due to the lack of entertainments and basic need facilities, low salaries and incentives from the local government. The other reason is also due to unsafe conditions in which they are assigned. So, potentially they provide not enough services with a short duration of time¹⁴.

The successful implementation continuously of the policy requires inputs, one of which is the financial aspect⁷. In fact, the obstacle factor in policy implementation is budget allocation set out from the regional budgetary plan (APBD). Current study found that the budget for health sector was lacking within last 3 years (2013-2015) as indicated in Fig. 1. The budgeting process should be internalized and integrated in planning and budgeting mechanisms. The percentage of

budgeting was reduced from 6.83% in 2013 to 6.65% in 2015 although it was increased in from 2014-2015 about 0.32%. According to the informants, which could be seen in Table 3, the budgetary factor causes delay in the implementation of government health programs in Enrekang Regency. However, Health Operational Fund (BOK) greatly helped the implementation of MSS in health affairs. The BOK sourced from the National Budget (APBN) acted as the funding to implement the program tasks from Ministry of Health in regency/regional level, especially for operationalization of Puskesmas (a.2).




Fig. 1: Percentage allocation of health sector budgeting in Enrekang district within last 3 years

Table 3: Interview scripts of key informants

Script	Code
"Actually we want to implement optimally but there was limited budget. The discussion of MSS was included into RPJMD, strategic plan. We were talking about it in the meeting yesterday. Well however, the budget is still limited, thus limiting also the space for us to implement the MSS" (Interview DK, male)	a.1
"This BOK or Health Operational Fund sir, as far as i know, strongly supports programs planned in the Puskesmas, hopefully it also support MSS" (interview PKM, female)	a.2
"For instance, between division of nutrition and MCH division, that day turned out to a differences in how to count, so i am confused, so i confirmed again to the Health Office about how they count the indicators" (Interview PKM, female)	b.1
"The big difference because the perspective in the interpretation of the technical guidelines, whereas MSS report is very important to evaluate our performance" (Interview KB, male)	b.2
"Service was fast, it did not take long time to wait. But the staff at the counter service needs to be improved their hospitality because we first came and asked at there. In fact, they did not even friendly" (interview 1, female)	c.1
"Perhaps because of so many patients came so they tired and the services like that. But for the medical doctor, he was good" (Interview 2, female)	c.2
"Yeah, we can see from number of people came anyway, it was queue. They said the queue number was up, only 30 patients. With the 30 patients, we have to wait up to the night. So, than we go home because we already came here, better to visit the general practitioner" (Interview 3, male)	c.3
"I satisfied enough with the services by this hospital. Here also was great, near my house anyway and also the medical doctors facilities was complete" (Interview 4, female)	c.4
"For me, it is better if the medical doctor standby every day, because we came here to find him. About the facilities and others, i thought it was good enough" (Interview 5, male)	c.5
"I can be treated at low cost" (Interview 1, female)	c.6
"For Social Security Administering Agency of Health (BPJS), the procedure took long time and complicated, better to come directly as general patients. About the cost, i thought it was not too costly. As member of BPJS, we knew about its condition and agreement, so it is in conformity with which we receive here" (Interview 3, male)	c.7
"I am the second class of BPJS participant but if we want to improve and get the first class services we have to pay more. For me, the second class services were enough" (Interview 4, female)	c.8
"With the fee i paid compare to the facilities and benefit i got, i feel has been enough. But if the government raise the dues even in small amount, i think it is hard for me" (Interview 2, female)	c.9

The financial aspect evaluation to support MSS policy implementation shows that the allocation in health affairs has been integrated with Regional Mid Term Development Plan (RPJMD) and therefore it was included in the proposed budget fiscal in each year. Financial aspect is crucial to influence the process of a policy⁷. In the other words, the integration of MSS into RPJMD, as a basis for strategic planning of Regional Work Unit (SKPD) is supposed to be prioritizing the funding for MSS in health affairs achievement in Enrekang Regency. A financial source as input for policy implementation is derived from the regional budget (APBD), so the budget given each year depends on the financial condition of the regency. According to the Health Ministry's strategic objectives, the budget allocation for the health sector in the district level should be 10% from the total regional budget per year.

Data managements: Data and information management of MSS in health affairs should be like what was described in Fig. 2. According to the technical guideline of MSS in health affairs, the pathway of data management supposes from primary unit of health service provider (Puskesmas, local hospital, private practice and other government units) and to Health Office. However, the data management in health affairs of Enrekang Regency was not fully in accordance with the guideline. Based on the observation, the clinic of treatment and private/individual practice were not covered by the system while public health center (Puskesmas), district hospitals and government (BUMN) health units have already connected. All data collected by Health Office would be forwarded automatically to the online National Health System (SIKNAS). Furthermore, the results are submitted to the head of regency (Bupati) and superior of Health Office (provincial level).

Although technical guideline has been applied but only performed by Puskesmas and hospital. The reports from all Puskesmas in Enrekang Regency were collected by Health Office each 3 months then it was delivered annually to SIKNAS at once in order to make a health profile book every year. In addition, the incoming data is also the subject of evaluation and consideration for the next year planning. Therefore, the accuracy in data management is strongly necessary in supporting health service right on the target and effective¹⁵.

Even after the procedure of data management has been justified with technical guideline, the way in data calculation is still be a problem. The main constraint found in this study was inconsistency between the data in Puskesmas, field division of Health Office and planning division of Health Office. Based on the investigation conducted, it was constituted by different perception to calculate the MSS indicators. Even though the technical guideline of MSS has been published since 2008, the health officers still have different perception (b.1, b.2). Different perception in calculation obviously contributes to different results of indicator achievement. Major cause of this problem is the calculation done manually instead of using database or online-based system. A study concludes that healthcare data management using database was very helpful in planning administration in order to improve the quality of health services management of the institution¹⁶. The use of information systems should be accompanied by training or mentoring for health workers in the Health Office, Puskesmas, local hospitals and other primary care units. Good human resources will ensure good quality health services also included good in the management of health service system¹⁷.

A theory explains that the policy implementation can be driven successfully by the ability to adapt with the prevailing




Fig. 2: Data and information management of MSS in health sector

circumstance⁷. In the era where the knowledge has been advanced, information and management systems will greatly help to provide data valid and reliable. It was at once made the national database will be able to describe precisely the condition of Indonesian people representatively.

Achievements: In the context of good governance, indicators used as benchmarks for excellent services performance are effectiveness, efficiency, transparency, responsiveness, responsibility and accountability in providing services¹⁸. The evaluation of the public services performance is also one attempt to show the value of accountability to the community. In determining the achievement of the public service performance, the synchronization between the goals, resources and outputs including budget planning is required¹⁰. In order to measure the success of policy implementation and service delivery performance, quality of services and the achievements (output) as well as the efficiency and effectiveness of the services should be measured.

The current study found that, among 3 years, the achievement in 2015 was the lowest compared to others. Achievement of MSS indicators in health affairs of Enrekang Regency within last 3 years (2013-2015) has decreased from 40.9% to only 31.8% of indicators achieved, as shown in Fig. 3. Accordingly, the achievement in 2013 and 2014 was equally while a decline occurred in 2015 were 40.9, 40.9 and 31.8%, respectively. Furthermore, this study also compared the achievement in last year (2015) to the national level and then the result is presented in Fig. 4. There were only 7 indicators reached the national targets. In general, from 22 indicators, indicator 13b (pneumonia case for toddlers detection) and indicator 15 (referral service for poor patient) had the lowest achievement: 2.95 and 13.13%, respectively.

All of indicators were grouped based on the type of health service (Fig. 5). The lowest achievement occurred in health care referrals domain, even it showed a positive trend from 2013-2015 (1 and 30%, respectively). However, health promotion and community empowerment services has met all targets (100%). Basic health services domain shows fluctuation result, declined for 12% from 2013-2014 and raised up to 14% (2014-2015). The MSS achievement acts as the description of MSS policy process included in either on or off track achievement. The declining result from the current study is suspected because of the financial factor and different perception. The variation on perception may result under or over-estimation of indicator calculation. According to some studies, the factors affecting the health policy implementation are capacity of the leader, effective multi sectorality, cooperation in the overall level of activity, knowledge and awareness as well as conflict¹⁹. Motivation of the health workers relating to the capacity to which the policy contribute to their goals affects implementation. Therefore, the motivation is one of the important aspects to be measured to help the leaders put the correct people as implementers. In addition, other factors also play important role in successful policy implementation, such as information, power and interaction²⁰.




Fig. 3: Achieved indicators of MSS in Enrekang district within last 3 years




Fig. 4: MSS indicators achievements of Enrekang district in 2015




Fig. 5: MSS indicator achievements based on type of services in Enrekang district within last 3 years

The findings of public satisfaction, as shown in Table 3, indicate positive responses. Most people feel satisfied with the health services obtained mainly in terms of the health worker competencies in Puskesmas and hospital. However, few people claimed that the services provided by health workers were less hospitable (c.1, c.2). In addition, there are several respondents who believed that they lacked in quantity, especially for specialist doctors at the hospital (c.3). For the facility and infrastructure, the people confessed of being satisfied with the services by Puskesmas and hospital. They are likely to feel comfortable and safe coming here to receive medical care (c.4, c.5). The cost aspect of health care is also satisfactory, both in Puskesmas and hospital. Most people who receive health services in primary health centers were satisfied with the suitability between the service price and the advantages afforded (c.6, c.7, c.8, c.9).

The implementation goal of MSS in health affairs is to provide the optimal services to the people. The optimal service will result in the satisfaction and give the benefit to the public health. Therefore the health service managers should focus to achieve this targets²¹. Satisfaction also can be used as good governance indicators. There are several indicators to be used to assess the public satisfaction for health services such as the attitude, hospitality and competency of the health workers, facility and infrastructure and service cost. The interpersonal skill in terms of knowledge, attention of care, emotional condition, attitude of respectfulness and mutual understanding to the patients are influential factors on patient's satisfaction^{21,22}.

CONCLUSION

Based on the evaluation of the implementation of Minimum Service Standard (MSS) policy in Enrekang Regency, the performance of health services was decreased. This is likely influenced by the input factors provided by the government. The inputs are budget and health personnel resources. To achieve the optimal output, then the input should be well-planned and the implementation process should be monitored properly. Thus, the regional head (Bupati) and program holder must understand and concentrate on the importance of these factors in the implementing process of MSS policy to the community.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENTS

The finding of the this study will increase the awareness of the local government, as the policy implementers, to concern about the implementation process of public policy including Minimum Service Standard (MSS). The government should focus on the input constraints such as budget and human resource limitation such as the finding of this study. Thus, the government could increase the public health services by strengthening these inputs of the public policy. For the community, definitely by strengthening the health service in applying the minimum service standard, it did not only increase the public satisfaction but also contributes to the health outcome which can be measured by the index of public

health development. Thus, this is an opportunity for researchers to develop capacity building instruments for health workers.


REFERENCES

1. MoLHR., 2005. Government regulation of republic Indonesia (Peraturan pemerintah) number 65 Year 2005 regarding minimum service standard. Ministry of Law and Human Rights, Indonesia.
2. Khozin, M., 2010. Evaluasi implementasi kebijakan standar pelayanan minimal bidang kesehatan di kabupaten gunungkidul. *J. Studi Pemerintahan*, 1: 32-61.
3. Litbangkes, 2014. Indeks pembangunan kesehatan masyarakat. <http://terbitan.litbang.depkes.go.id/penerbitan/index.php/lpb/catalog/download/85/181/374-1>.
4. BPS., 2013. Jumlah dan persentase penduduk miskin menurut kabupaten di provinsi sulawesi selatan. Badan Pus. Stat. Sulawesi Selatan. <http://sulsel.bps.go.id/linkTabelStatistik/view/id/72>.
5. Obrist, B., N. Iteba, C. Lengeler, A. Makemba and C. Mshana *et al*, 2007. Access to health care in contexts of livelihood insecurity: A framework for analysis and action. *PLoS Med.*, Vol. 4, No. 10. 10.1371/journal.pmed.0040308.
6. Swartz, K., 2009. Health care for the poor: For whom, what care and whose responsibility? *Focus*, 26: 69-74.
7. Brynard, P.A., 2009. Mapping the factors that influence policy implementation. *J. Public Admin.*, 44: 557-577.
8. Roh, K.A., 2012. Challenges to policy implementation: An examination of an integrated health care delivery system demonstration project. *Political Science Honors Projects* 38. http://digitalcommons.macalester.edu/poli_honors/38.
9. Smith, P.C., E. Mossialos, I. Papanicolas and S. Leatherman, 2009. *Performance Measurement for Health System Improvement: Experiences, Challenges and Prospects*. Cambridge University Press, UK., ISBN-13: 9780521116763, Pages: 726.
10. Mihaiu, D.M., A. Opreana and M.P. Cristescu, 2010. Efficiency, effectiveness and performance of the public sector. *Rom. J. Econ. Forecasting*, 4: 132-147.
11. Cowing, M., C.M. Davino-Ramaya, K. Ramaya and J. Szmerekovsky, 2009. Health care delivery performance: service, outcomes and resource stewardship. *Perm. J.*, 13: 72-78.
12. Creswell, J.W., 2009. *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 3rd Edn., Sage Publications Inc., New York.
13. Luborsky, M.R. and R.L. Rubinstein, 1995. Sampling in qualitative research rationale, issues and methods. *Res. Aging*, 17: 89-113.
14. WHO., 2012. Human resources for health country profile Indonesia. http://www.searo.who.int/entity/human_resources/data/en/.
15. Dooling, J.A., 2013. The importance of data quality. *Reliable Information Responsible Care*.
16. De Andrade, C.T., A.M.P.C.B. Magedanz, D.M. Escobosa, W.M. Tomaz, C.S. Santinho, T.O. Lopes and V. Lombardo, 2012. The importance of a database in the management of healthcare services. *Einstein*, 10: 360-365.
17. Kabene, S.M., C. Orchard, J.M. Howard, M.A. Soriano and R. Leduc, 2006. The importance of human resources management in health care: A global context. *Hum. Resour. Health*, Vol. 4. 10.1186/1478-4491-4-20.
18. OECD., 2012. Spain: From administrative reform to continuous improvement. *OECD Public Governance Reviews*. <https://www.oecd.org/gov/ENGLISH%20SUMMARY%20WIT%20COVER.pdf>.
19. Salvesen, D., K.R. Evenson, D.A. Rodriguez and A. Brown, 2008. Factors influencing implementation of local policies to promote physical activity: A case study of Montgomery County, Maryland. *J. Public Health Manage. Pract.*, 14: 280-288.
20. Spratt, K., 2009. Policy implementation barriers analysis: Conceptual framework and pilot test in three countries. *Futures Group, Health Policy Initiative, Task Order 1*, Washington, DC.
21. Al-Abri, R. and A. Al-Balushi, 2014. Patient satisfaction survey as a tool towards quality improvement. *Oman Med. J.*, 29: 3-7.
22. Arshad, A.S., H. Shamila, J. Rohul and A. Fazli, 2012. Measuring patient satisfaction: A cross sectional study to improve quality of care at a tertiary care hospital. *Health Line*, 3: 59-62.


Asian Journal of Scientific Research

Country	Pakistan	10	H Index
Subject Area and Category	Multidisciplinary Multidisciplinary		
Publisher	ANSInet		
Publication type	Journals		
ISSN	19921454		
Coverage	2009-ongoing		
Scope	Asian Journal of Scientific Research is a quarterly, peer-reviewed international research journal that addresses both applied and theoretical issues. The scope of the journal encompasses research articles, original research reports, reviews and short communications in the fields of applied and theoretical sciences, biology, chemistry, physics, zoology, medical studies, environmental sciences, mathematics, statistics, geology, engineering, computer science, social sciences, natural sciences, technological sciences, linguistics, medicine, industrial, and all other applied and theoretical sciences. Asian Journal of Scientific Research is a refereed journal. (source)		

Quartiles




SJR



Citations per document


Total Cites

Self-Cites




External Cites per Doc

Cites per Doc




% International Collaboration






Citable documents

Non-citable documents



Cited documents

Uncited documents



← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="http://www.scimag
```

Developed by:



Powered by:



- [Support](#)
- [Contact Us](#)
- [Corporate website](#)

Site

Client

proxystylesheet

Output

Search 

allAreas

JOURNAL SEARCH

Search Terms: ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Total journals found: 1

The following title(s) matched your request:

Journals 1-1 (of 1)

[FORMAT FOR PRINT](#)



- **ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH**

Quarterly ISSN: 1992-1454

ASIAN NETWORK SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION-ANSINET, 308-LASANI TOWN, SARGODHA RD,
FAISALABAD, PAKISTAN, 38090

[Coverage](#)

- [Zoological Record](#)

Journals 1-1 (of 1)

[FORMAT FOR PRINT](#)



Search Terms:

Search type: ▼

Database: ▼

[SEARCH](#)

Clarivate

Accelerating
innovation

Source details

Feedback > (/standard/contactForm.uri) Compare sc

Asian Journal of Scientific Research

Scopus coverage years: from 2009 to Present

Publisher: Science Alert Journals

ISSN: 1992-1454 E-ISSN: 2077-2076

Subject area: Multidisciplinary

Visit Scopus Journal Metrics >
(https://www.scopus.com/redirect?targetURL=http%3a%2f%2fwww.ansijournals.com%2f3%2f4p.php%3fid%3d1%26theme%3d3%26jid%3dajsr&locationID=8&categoryID=8&eid=&issn=1992-1454)

CiteScore 2016
0.64

SJR 2015
0.156

SNIP 2015
0.410


Set document alert Journal Homepage (https://www.scopus.com/redirect/linking.uri?targetURL=http%3a%2f%2fwww.ansijournals.com%2f3%2f4p.php%3fid%3d1%26theme%3d3%26jid%3dajsr&locationID=8&categoryID=8&eid=&issn=1992-1454)

CiteScore CiteScore rank & trend Scopus content coverage

CiteScore rank 2016 In category: Multidisciplinary

CiteScore trend

☆	#25	Asian Journal of Scientific Research	0.64	67th percentile
	77			
☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2016	Percentile
☆	#1	Science (/23571?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	14.39	99th percentile
☆	#2	Nature (/21206?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	13.33	98th percentile
☆	#3	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (/21121?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	8.56	96th percentile
☆	#4	Scientific Reports (/21100200805?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	4.63	95th percentile
☆	#5	Journal of Advanced Research (/19700168304?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	3.18	94th percentile
☆	#6	National Science Review (/21100409368?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	2.90	92nd percentile
☆	#7	Complexity (/25823?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	2.86	91st percentile
☆	#8	Journal of King Saud University - Science (/86891?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	2.77	90th percentile
☆	#9	Royal Society Open Science (/21100446014?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	2.27	88th percentile
☆	#10	Science Bulletin (/21100405003?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	1.94	87th percentile
☆	#11	Tsinghua Science and Technology (/25522?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	1.82	86th percentile
☆	#12	SpringerPlus (/21100228569?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	1.30	85th percentile



☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2016	Percentile
☆	#13	Science, Technology and Society (./23716?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	1.13	83rd percentile
☆	#14	Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias (./18659?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	1.05	82nd percentile
☆	#15	Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering (./13951?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	1.02	81st percentile
☆	#16	Pacific Science (./22251?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.95	79th percentile
☆	#17	Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology (./145331?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.87	78th percentile
☆	#18	Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand (./23030?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.84	76th percentile
☆	#18	Kuwait Journal of Science (./21100324700?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.84	76th percentile
☆	#20	Journal of the Indian Institute of Science (./22447?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.80	74th percentile
☆	#21	Sadhana - Academy Proceedings in Engineering Sciences (./23531?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.79	73rd percentile
☆	#22	Science Progress (./23697?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.74	72nd percentile
☆	#23	American Scientist (./19298?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.70	70th percentile
☆	#23	Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research (./23649?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.70	70th percentile
☆	#25	Asian Journal of Scientific Research (./16500154707?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.64	67th percentile
☆	#25	Current Science (./20699?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.64	67th percentile
☆	#27	Sains Malaysiana (./7700153224?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.62	65th percentile
☆	#28	ScienceAsia (./4000151817?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.61	64th percentile
☆	#29	Heliyon (./21100411756?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.55	62nd percentile
☆	#30	Maejo International Journal of Science and Technology (./19700170618?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.53	61st percentile
☆	#30	Xinan Jiaotong Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University (./26207?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.53	61st percentile
☆	#32	Foundations of Science (./1300147101?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.52	59th percentile
☆	#33	Data in Brief (./21100372856?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.50	57th percentile
☆	#33	Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology (./3900148502?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.50	57th percentile
☆	#35	Universitas Scientiarum (./19700166903?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.49	55th percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2016	Percentile
☆	#36	Journal of the National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka (./5800207374?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.48	53rd percentile
☆	#37	Perspectives on Science (./5600155094?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.46	51st percentile
☆	#37	Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (./21100258402?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.46	51st percentile
☆	#39	World Review of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development (./5700165172?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.44	50th percentile
☆	#40	Liaoning Gongcheng Jishu Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue Ban)/Journal of Liaoning Technical University (Natural Science Edition) (./61482?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.42	48th percentile
☆	#41	Transactions of Tianjin University (./25451?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.41	47th percentile
☆	#42	Journal of Mathematical and Fundamental Sciences (./21100268424?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.40	44th percentile
☆	#42	Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University (Science) (./145728?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.40	44th percentile
☆	#42	Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology (./75573?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.40	44th percentile
☆	#45	Hunan Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences (./22386?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.38	42nd percentile
☆	#46	Beijing Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue Ban)/Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Pekinensis (./19472?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.35	40th percentile
☆	#46	Jilin Daxue Xuebao (Gongxueban)/Journal of Jilin University (Engineering and Technology Edition) (./145365?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.35	40th percentile
☆	#48	Tongji Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Tongji University (./25490?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.34	38th percentile
☆	#49	Journal of Sciences, Islamic Republic of Iran (./19900191882?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.31	37th percentile
☆	#50	Comptes Rendus de L'Academie Bulgare des Sciences (./31728?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.30	35th percentile
☆	#51	Shanghai Jiaotong Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University (./14038?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.28	34th percentile
☆	#52	Interiencia (./22962?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.27	33rd percentile
☆	#53	Natures Sciences Societes (./23285?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.26	31st percentile
☆	#53	Wuhan University Journal of Natural Sciences (./26195?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.26	31st percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2016	Percentile
☆	#55	Gazi University Journal of Science (./17700155802? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.25	29th percentile
☆	#56	Chiang Mai University Journal of Natural Sciences (./19400157142? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.24	27th percentile
☆	#57	Proceedings of the Latvian Academy of Sciences, Section B: Natural, Exact, and Applied Sciences (./19500157417? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.20	26th percentile
☆	#58	Shenyang Jianzhu Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue Ban)/Journal of Shenyang Jianzhu University (Natural Science) (./144725? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.19	25th percentile
☆	#59	Xi'an Shiyu Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue Ban)/Journal of Xi'an Shiyu University, Natural Sciences Edition (./145055? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.18	24th percentile
☆	#60	Scientific American (./24197? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.17	22nd percentile
☆	#61	Journal of Scientific Exploration (./23650? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.16	20th percentile
☆	#61	Malaysian Journal of Science (./5700165166? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.16	20th percentile
☆	#63	Journal of Zhejiang University, Science Edition (./21100217212? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.13	18th percentile
☆	#64	ASM Science Journal (./20300195074? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.11	16th percentile
☆	#64	Bulletin of the Georgian National Academy of Sciences (./21100199522? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.11	16th percentile
☆	#64	Journal and Proceedings - Royal Society of New South Wales (./33604? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.11	16th percentile
☆	#67	Ciencia and Engenharia/ Science and Engineering Journal (./67311? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.09	13th percentile
☆	#68	Bulletin de la Societe Vaudoise des Sciences Naturelles (./37540? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.08	11th percentile
☆	#68	Zhongshan Daxue Xuebao/Acta Scientiarum Natrarium Universitatis Sunyatseni (./22728? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.08	11th percentile
☆	#70	Revista Lasallista de Investigacion (./21100202735? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.05	9th percentile
☆	#71	Bulletin de la Societe Royale des Sciences de Liege (./24843? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.04	8th percentile
☆	#72	Scientist (./24221? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.03	7th percentile
☆	#73	New Scientist (./21753? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.02	5th percentile
☆	#74	Smithsonian (./25966? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.01	4th percentile
☆	#75	Metode (./21100464312? origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.00	1st percentile

☆	Rank	Source title	CiteScore 2016	Percentile
☆	#75	Scientific Journal of King Faisal University (./19700187504?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.00	1st percentile
☆	#75	Taiwan Review (./23467?origin=sourceInfo&zone=refpointrank)	0.00	1st percentile

About Scopus

What is Scopus (<https://www.elsevier.com/online-tools/scopus>)

Content coverage (<https://www.elsevier.com/online-tools/scopus/content-overview/>)

Scopus blog (<https://blog.scopus.com/>)

Scopus API (<https://dev.elsevier.com/>)

Privacy matters
(<https://www.elsevier.com/legal/privacy-approach>)

Language

日本語に切り替える
(/personalization/switch/Japanese.uri?origin=&zone=footer&locale=ja_JP)

切换到简体中文
(/personalization/switch/Chinese.uri?origin=&zone=footer&locale=zh_CN)

切换到繁體中文
(/personalization/switch/Chinese.uri?origin=&zone=footer&locale=zh_TW)

Customer Service

Help (</standard/contactUs.uri?pageOrigin=foot>)

Contact us (</standard/contactForm.uri?pageOrigin=footer>)

ELSEVIER

(<https://www.elsevier.com/>)

Terms and conditions (<https://www.elsevier.com/locate/termsandconditions>)

Privacy policy (<https://www.elsevier.com/locate/privacypolicy>)

Copyright © 2017 Elsevier B.V (<https://www.elsevier.com>). All rights reserved. Scopus® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

Cookies are set by this site. To decline them or learn more, visit our Cookies page (</standard/help.uri?topic=2964>).

 RELX Group™ (<http://www.relx.com>)